UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,175	03/26/2004	Jerald C. Seelig	619.708	8014
21707 IAN F RIRNS	7590 12/12/2007 S & ASSOCIATES	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 71115			COBURN, CORBETT B	
RENO, NV 89570			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/810,175	SEELIG ET AL.	SEELIG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Corbett B. Coburr	1 -				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS CO CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hower tition. by period will apply and will expire S by statute, cause the application to	MMUNICATION.  ver, may a reply be timely filed  IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status			•				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 06 December 2007.					
	_	☐ This action is non-fina	<b>l</b> .				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the appl	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-8,10-16,18,20-32,35,36 and 38-42</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,9,17,19,33,34 and 37</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requiren	nent.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Ex	raminer.					
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2004</u> is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or l	o)⊡ objected to by the Examine	r.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/3/5</u> . 6)						

# DETAILED ACTION

### Specification

1. Applicant is reminded to update the specification to reflect the status of the parent application.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of claim 3 in the reply filed on 6 Dec 07 is acknowledged.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 9, 17, 19, 33, 34 & 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fey (*Slot Machines, A Pictorial History of the First 100 Years*, Liberty Bell Books, 1983) in view of Baerlocher (US Patent Number 6,336,863).
  - Claims 1, 33: Fey teaches the Reliance slot machine on page 24. The Reliance includes a housing defining a display area. There is at least one indicium representing at least one prize is displayed on the display area (the arrows point to trade checks). There are a plurality of display characters (i.e., arrows) configured to move and configured to indicate the indicium. The Reliance includes a mechanical controller in communication with the at least one of the plurality of display characters and being configured to direct

Art Unit: 3714

movement thereof. The controller is configured to move the at least one of the plurality of display characters to indicate the at least one indicium that corresponds to the game outcome. The Reliance lacks a computerized controller that is configured to generate a random number and generate a game outcome based on the random number. Baerlocher (along with all modern slot machines) has such a controller (42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the Reliance in view of Baerlocher to include a computerized controller configured to generate a random number and generate a game outcome based on the random number in order to modernize the Reliance.

Claim 2, 34: The plurality of display characters are three-dimensional. All physical objects are three-dimensional.

Claim 3: According to Wiktionary, "choreographed" means "made to work together".

Clearly, the Reliance's pointers work together. Thus, the display characters are configured to move in a choreographed manner.

Claims 9, 37: In order to move the arrows, there must be at least one actuator coupled to the at least one of the plurality of display characters and in communication with the controller, wherein the controller is configured to cause the at least one actuator to move the at least one of the plurality of display characters.

Claim 17: The display area comprises a changeable display area and the at least one indicium comprises indicia, wherein at least a portion of the indicia are displayed on the changeable display area. The face of the machine is the display area. Since the arrows move, the display area is changeable. The indicia appear on the display area.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,175

Art Unit: 3714

Claim 19: The display area includes at least one prize display on the display area, wherein indicia are displayed on the prize display. The Reliance & Baerlocher both have the prizes on the display area.

Page 4

### **Double Patenting**

5. Claims 1-42 of this application conflict with claims 1-19 of Application No. 11/239,784.

37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See Notice of References Cited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (571) 272-4447. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,175

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Corbett B. Coburn/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3714 Page 5